SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS:

STRATEGIC ABANDONMENT OR SINCERELY SECOND BEST? THE 1999 ISRAELI PRIME MINISTERIAL ELECTION

Paul R. Abramson
Michigan State University
abramson@msu.edu

John H. Aldrich
Duke University
aldrich@duke.edu

Matthew Diamond
Duke University
matt@communitymidrasha.org

Abraham Diskin
Hebrew University of Jerusalem
mshanna@mscc.huji.ac.il

Renan Levine
University of Toronto
renan.levine@utoronto.edu

Thomas J. Scotto
Duke University
tjs@duke.edu

The Journal of Politics
Volume 66, Issue 3
August, 2004

Full Estimates of Tables 5, 6, and 7

Notes: All contextual variables are dichotomous, coded "1" when respondent has given characteristic and "0" otherwise with the following exceptions:

a) Income is a tri-chotomous variable coded "1" where R's income is less than 4,000 Shekels, "2" where R's income is between 4,000 and 10,999 Shekels, "3" where R's income is greater than 10,999 Shekels.

b) Education is a categorical variables defined by declarations on diplomas and number of "official" years at educational institutes. "1" is the lowest possible score on this variable and "5" is the highest

1. Table 5.: Probability of Respondent Intending to Vote for Most Preferred Candidate

Probit Estimation (1=Respondent Intends to Vote for Their Most Preferred Candidate)

Number of Observations: 702

Likelihood Ratio χ^2= 74.98 (10 degrees of freedom)

Pseudo R^2= 0.2174

Explanatory Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-score	P>|z|	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval
P1	2.45	0.48	5.12	0.000	1.51	3.38
P2	-1.74	0.51	-3.44	0.001	-2.73	-0.75
R voted for a major party in 1996	0.43	0.18	2.37	0.018	0.07	0.79
R of Russian origin	-0.61	0.30	-2.01	0.044	-1.20	-0.16
R of Sephardic origin	-0.32	0.19	-1.65	0.098	-0.68	0.06
R is Haredi (Ultra-Orthodox)	-0.33	0.30	-1.12	0.264	-0.92	0.25
R dovish on security question	-0.40	0.22	-1.79	0.073	-0.84	0.04
R hawkish (right) on security question	-0.14	0.21	-0.68	0.496	-0.56	0.27
R's Income	0.05	0.15	0.34	0.735	-0.25	0.35
R's Education	0.17	0.08	2.28	0.023	0.02	0.32
Constant	0.80	0.45	1.78	0.075	-0.08	1.67

2. Table 6.: Probability of Respondent Intending to Vote for Most Preferred Candidate

Probit Estimation (1=Respondent Intends to Vote for Their Most Preferred Candidate)

Number of Observations: 702

Likelihood Ratio χ^2= 78.70 (10 degrees of freedom)

Pseudo R^2= 0.2282

Explanatory Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-score	P>|z|	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval
P12	1.66	0.36	4.56	0.000	0.95	2.37
P13	0.84	0.40	2.07	0.039	0.04	1.63
R voted for a major party in 1996	0.39	0.18	2.12	0.034	0.03	0.75
R of Russian origin	-0.68	0.30	-2.21	0.027	-1.27	-0.08
R of Sephardic origin	-0.32	0.19	-1.68	0.093	-0.69	0.05
R is Haredi (Ultra-Orthodox)	-0.44	0.31	-1.43	0.152	-1.04	0.16
R dovish on security question	0.38	0.23	-1.69	0.090	-0.83	0.06
R hawkish (right) on security question	-0.21	0.22	-0.97	0.331	-0.64	0.21
R's Income	0.05	0.15	0.36	0.720	-0.25	0.35
R's Education	0.18	0.08	2.31	0.021	0.03	0.33
Constant	1.05	0.40	2.61	0.009	0.26	1.84

3. Table 7.: Probability of Respondent Intending to Vote for Most Preferred Candidate

Probit Estimation (1=Respondent Intends to Vote for Their Most Preferred Candidate)

Number of Observations: 689

Likelihood Ratio χ^2= 84.51 (11 degrees of freedom)

Pseudo R^2= 0.2463

Explanatory Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-score	P>|z|	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval
PB12	2.23	0.72	3.08	0.002	0.81	3.65
PB13	1.67	0.41	4.09	0.000	0.87	2.48
PB23	-1.53	0.61	-2.50	0.012	-2.72	-0.33
R voted for a major party in 1996	0.41	0.19	2.20	0.028	0.04	0.78
R of Russian origin	-0.74	0.31	-2.38	0.017	-1.35	-0.13
R of Sephardic origin	-0.28	0.19	-1.45	0.148	-0.66	0.10
R is Haredi (Ultra-Orthodox)	-0.42	0.31	-1.34	0.181	-1.03	0.19
R dovish on security question	-0.44	0.23	-1.91	0.056	-0.90	0.01
R hawkish (right) on security question	-0.24	0.22	-1.09	0.274	-0.68	0.19
R's Income	0.03	0.15	0.19	0.850	-0.27	0.33
R's Education	0.19	0.08	2.40	0.016	0.03	0.34
Constant	1.05	0.41	2.57	0.10	0.25	1.85

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS:

STRATEGIC ABANDONMENT OR SINCERELY SECOND BEST? THE 1999 ISRAELI PRIME MINISTERIAL ELECTION

Paul R. Abramson
Michigan State University
abramson@msu.edu

John H. Aldrich
Duke University
aldrich@duke.edu

Matthew Diamond
Duke University
matt@communitymidrasha.org

Abraham Diskin
Hebrew University of Jerusalem
mshanna@mscc.huji.ac.il

Renan Levine
University of Toronto
renan.levine@utoronto.edu

Thomas J. Scotto
Duke University
tjs@duke.edu

The Journal of Politics
Volume 66, Issue 3
August, 2004

```
cd "H:\israel99\Final Versions\JOPREVISEANDRESUB

clear, ok

set memory 32000

capture log close

log using tablellrtests.log, replace

use H:\israel99\abrams01.dta

#delimit ;

log off;

/**
Adds demographic controls to previous analyses.
Table 1: Expected Vote Choice and Highest Preference for Prime Minister
      % of those who ranked candidate highest and voted for PM
Tables 2-4: Arian and Shamir (not included here)
Table 5: Did R Intend to Vote for Favored Candidate
      folded P1, P2 vote intention model
Table 6: Did R Intend to Vote for Favored Candidate
      folded P12, P13 vote intention model
Table 7: Probit Estimates of the Probability of Expecting to Vote for the First-
or Second-highest-ranked Candidate, Given Normalized, Multiplicative Utility and
Viability Measures folded PB12, PB13, PB23
Old versions: H:\israel99\Midwest\FoldedPanalyses\fold99orig.do
H:\israel99\Midwest\FoldedPanalyses\foldedanalyses.do
***/

/**
GAME PLAN:
Cleaning
A1 Drop Arabs so analysis covers Jewish Israelis only
A2 Change to missing all those whose vote choice was not Mordechai, Barak, or
Netanyahu
A3 Generate random divisions using observation number
A4 Sociodemographic control variables

Table 1
B1 Who is favorite PM candidate by feeling thermometer
B2 Create dependent variable (“did you vote for favorite?”)

Tables 5-7
C1 Create rank ordering
C2 Normalize thermometer scores
C3 Normalize viability scores
C4 Fold viability scores
C5 Viability scores by rank ordering
C6 Create P12 and P13 from difference between ranked, normalized and folded
viability scores
C7 Thermometer scores by rank ordering
C8 Create PB
```

```
Summarize all important variables to check to make sure nothing drops
inexplicably
Run Table 1, 5-7
**/

/** A1 Drop Arabs so analysis covers Jewish Israelis only **/

drop if v3>1;

/***  A2 Change to missing all those whose vote choice was not Mordechai, Barak,
or Netanyahu **/

gen pmvote=v78;
replace pmvote=. if v78>3;

/*  Toms addition  */

drop if pmvote==.;

label define pmcands
      1 "Netanyahu"
      2 "Barak"
      3 "Mordechai";
label values pmvote pmcands;

/** A3
Ties are randomized on basis of "x", observation number (_n).
Evens to challenger vs. Bibi,
Evens to Barak vs Mordechai
None of the three-way ties voted for Mordechai, so none are given to him.
To resolve second-preference three way ties, same rules as above:
      Barak -even vs. Mordechai - odd
      Bibi- odd vs. Mordechai- even
**/

gen rand=_n;
gen y=rand/2;
gen z=int(rand/2);
gen y2=z/2;
gen z2=int(z/2);
gen x=0; /*odd*/
replace x=1 if y==z; /*even*/
gen x2=1 if x==1 & y2==z2; /**even-even**/
replace x2=2 if x==1 & y2~=z2; /**even-odd**/
replace x2=3 if x==0 & y2~=z2; /**odd-odd**/
replace x2=4 if x==0 & y2==z2; /**odd-even**/

/** A4 SocioDemographic Control Variables ***/
generate age = v2;

label define v2
      1 "1:18-19"
      2 "2:20-29"
      3 "3:30-39"
      4 "4:40-49"
      5 "5:50-59"
      6 "6:60-69"
```

```
      7 "7:70-79"
      8 "8:80-89" ;
label values age v2;

generate par96 = v15_16;

label define v15_16
      1 "Moledet"
      3 "Likud-Gesher-Tsomet"
      11 "NRP"
      12 "Aguda"
      13 "Shas"
      41 "Sharanski"
      51 "The Third Way"
      21 "Labour"
      22 "Meretz"
      23 "Arabs"
      24 "Hadash"
      31 "Another Party"
      32 "Didn't Vote"  ;

label values par96 v15_16;

/*** Major parties in '96- Labor & Likud only ***/
gen majorp96=0;
replace majorp96=1 if v15_16==3 | v15_16==21;

generate religion = v3 ;

label define lv3
      1 "Jewish"
      2 "Druze"
      3 "Moslem"
      4 "Arab Christian"
      5 "Other Christian"  ;

label values religion lv3;

generate relsec = v4;

label define lv4
      1 "vreligious"
      2 "religious"
      3 "traditional"
      4 "quitesecu"
      5 "secular" ;

label values relsec lv4;

/***Dummy for very religious***/
gen haredi=0;
replace haredi=1 if v4==1;

/*** Dummy for religious and very religious - haredi is inclusive***/
gen dati=0;
replace dati=1 if v4<=2;
```

```
generate lftrgta = v5;

label define lv5
      1 "veryright"
      2 "right"
      3 "middle"
      4 "left"
      5 "veryleft" ;

label values lftrgta lv5;

/***Dummy for extremist on right or left on security issues ***/
gen extreme=0;
replace extreme=1 if v5==1 | v5==5;

/*** Dummy for right **/
gen right=0;
replace right=1 if v5<=2;

/*** Dummy for left ***/
gen dove=0;
replace dove=1 if v5>=4;

generate lftrgtb = v6;

label define lv6
      1 "veryright"
      2 "right"
      3 "middle"
      4 "left"
      5 "veryleft" ;

label values lftrgtb lv6;

generate origin = v7;

label define lv7
      1 "IsraelDad"
      2 "Soviet80"
      3 "EuAMdad"
      4 "AsiaAfrdad" ;

label values origin lv7;

/***Dummy for Russian**/
gen russian=0;
replace russian=1 if v7==2;

/***Dummy for Sephardi **/
gen sephardi=0;
replace sephardi=1 if v7==4;

/***Dummy for bad financial status ***/
gen badfin=0;
replace badfin=1 if v8>=4;

generate income = v9;
```

```
label define lv9
      1 "less4000is"
      2 "4000-10999is"
      3 "greater" ;

label values income lv9;

generate educat = v10_11;

label define lv10_11
      1 "Low"
      5 "High";

label values educat lv10_11;


/*** B1 Create variables for feeling thermometer scores for each of 3 major
candidates ***/
/** Benjamin "Bibi" Netanyahu**/
generate bbft = v33_35;
/** Ehud Barak**/
generate baft = v36_38;
/** Yitzhak "Itzik" Mordechai **/
generate moft = v39_41;

/*** Who is the favorite PM candidate?
1= Barak
2= Netanyahu
3= Mordecai
4= B-N Tie
5= N-M Tie
6= B-M Tie
7= All Tied
Compares feeling thermometers from three separate variables, into one variable.
***/

gen pmft=0;
replace pmft=. if baft==. | bbft==. | moft==.;
replace pmft=1 if baft>bbft & baft>moft;
replace pmft=2 if bbft>baft & bbft>moft;
replace pmft=3 if moft>bbft & moft>baft;
replace pmft=4 if baft==bbft & baft>moft;
replace pmft=5 if bbft>baft & bbft==moft;
replace pmft=6 if baft>bbft & baft==moft;
replace pmft=7 if (baft==bbft) & (bbft==moft);

/*  Tom's Additions */

drop if pmft==.;

label define hitherm
1 "Barak"
2 "Netanyahu"
3 "Mordecai"
4 "B-N Tie"
5 "N-M Tie"
```

```
6 "B-M Tie"
7 "All Tied";
label values pmft hitherm;

/*** B2 Vote for favorite candidate (or tied for favorite candidate) ***/
gen vote1pm=0;
replace vote1pm=1 if (pmft==1 & pmvote==2) |
                     (pmft==2 & pmvote==1) |
                     (pmft==3 & pmvote==3) |
                     (pmft==4 & (pmvote==1 | pmvote==2)) |
                     (pmft==5 & (pmvote==1 | pmvote==3)) |
                     (pmft==6 & (pmvote==2 | pmvote==3)) | pmft==7;



/** C1 Create rank ordering for each possible combination of rankings of three
candidates (ba, bb, mo)
Ex: babbmo= Barak>=Netanyahu(Bibi)>=Mordechai
**/

gen babbmo=1 if baft>bbft & baft>moft & bbft>moft;
replace babbmo=1 if baft>bbft & baft>moft & bbft==moft & x==0;
replace babbmo=1 if baft==bbft & baft>moft & bbft>moft & x==1;
replace babbmo=1 if baft==bbft & baft==moft & x2==2;

gen bamobb=1 if baft>bbft & baft>moft & bbft<moft;
replace bamobb=1 if baft>bbft & baft>moft & bbft==moft & x==1;
replace bamobb=1 if baft>bbft & baft==moft & bbft<moft & x==1;
replace bamobb=1 if baft==bbft & baft==moft & x2==1;

gen bbbamo=1 if bbft>baft & bbft>moft & baft>moft;
replace bbbamo=1 if bbft>baft & bbft>moft & baft==moft & x==1;
replace bbbamo=1 if bbft==baft & bbft>moft & baft>moft & x==0;
replace bbbamo=1 if bbft==baft & bbft==moft & x2==4;

gen bbmoba=1 if bbft>baft & bbft>moft & baft<moft;
replace bbmoba=1 if bbft>baft & bbft>moft & baft==moft & x==0;
replace bbmoba=1 if bbft>baft & bbft==moft & baft<moft & x==0;
replace bbmoba=1 if bbft==baft & bbft==moft & x2==3;

gen mobbba=1 if moft>baft & moft>bbft & bbft>baft;
replace mobbba=1 if moft>baft & moft>bbft & bbft==baft & x==0;
replace mobbba=1 if moft>baft & moft==bbft & bbft>baft & x==1;

gen mobabb=1 if moft>baft & moft>bbft & bbft<baft;
replace mobabb=1 if moft>baft & moft>bbft & bbft==baft & x==1;
replace mobabb=1 if moft==baft & moft>bbft & bbft<baft & x==0;

/* C2 "Normalized" ranking of each of the candidate's thermometer scores.  The
highest ranked candidate will be scored "1" with the lowest ranked candidate
scored "0" with the candidate in the middle "normalized" in the following
fashion: u(2)=(U(2)-U(3))/(U(1)-U(3))  */

gen normtbb=0;
replace normtbb=1 if bbbamo==1 | bbmoba==1;
replace normtbb = ((bbft-baft)/(moft-baft)) if mobbba==1;
replace normtbb = ((bbft-moft)/(baft-moft)) if babbmo==1;
```

```
gen normtba=0;
replace normtba=1 if babbmo==1 | bamobb==1;
replace normtba = ((baft-moft)/(bbft-moft)) if bbbamo==1;
replace normtba = ((baft-bbft)/(moft-bbft)) if mobabb==1;

gen normtmo=0;
replace normtmo=1 if mobbba==1 | mobabb==1;
replace normtmo = ((moft-baft)/(bbft-baft)) if bbmoba==1;
replace normtmo = ((moft-bbft)/(baft-bbft)) if bamobb==1;


/*  C3 "Normalize" the viability ratings for the three major candidates The
formula for doing so p(j)= P(j)/(P(1)+...+P(n)) where p(j) denotes the
"normalized" probability score and P(j)denotes the original score for candidate
j.  I shall therefore create three different "normalized" variables; one for
each of the candidates  */

gen normvbb=(v63_65)/(v63_65+v66_68+v69_71);
gen normvba=(v66_68)/(v63_65+v66_68+v69_71);
gen normvmo=(v69_71)/(v63_65+v66_68+v69_71);

/*** C4 Fold normalized viability so it fits on scale 0->.5  ***/

gen fnvbb=normvbb;
replace fnvbb=1-normvbb if normvbb>.5;

gen fnvba=normvba;
replace fnvba=(1-normvba) if normvba>.5;

gen fnvmo=normvmo;
replace fnvmo=(1-normvmo) if normvmo>.5;

/*  C5 zP1v are viability scores of highest ranked candidate (folded version of
P(1) in APSR 92 article)
Ties are randomized as above **/

gen zP1v=0;

replace zP1v = fnvba if pmft==1;
replace zP1v = fnvbb if pmft==2;
replace zP1v = fnvmo if pmft==3;
replace zP1v = fnvba if (pmft==4 | pmft==6 | pmft==7) & x==1;
replace zP1v = fnvbb if (pmft==4 | pmft==5 | pmft==7) & x==0;
replace zP1v = fnvmo if pmft==6 & x==0;
replace zP1v = fnvmo if pmft==5 & x==1;

/*  zP2v are viability scores of 2nd ranked candidate (equal to P (2) in
article) */

gen zP2v=0;

replace zP2v = fnvbb if babbmo==1 | mobbba==1;
replace zP2v = fnvba if bbbamo==1 | mobabb==1;
replace zP2v = fnvmo if bamobb==1 | bbmoba==1;
```

```
/* zP3v are viability scores of 3rd ranked candidate(equal to P (3) in article)
*/

gen zP3v=0;
replace zP3v = fnvbb if bamobb==1 | mobabb==1;
replace zP3v = fnvba if bbmoba==1 | mobbba==1;
replace zP3v = fnvmo if bbbamo==1 | babbmo==1;

/* C6 independent variable P(12) by taking the difference of zP1v and zP2v (this
is already folded and normalized)*/
gen p12f=(zP1v-zP2v);

/* independent variable P(13) by taking the difference of zP1v and zP3v */
gen p13f=(zP1v-zP3v);

/** C7 create rank ordering of feeling thermometer rankings (similar to ranking
of viability scores) */
/** rank1t are feeling thermometer scores of highest ranked candidate **/

gen rank1t=0;
replace rank1t = normtba if pmft==1;
replace rank1t = normtbb if pmft==2;
replace rank1t = normtmo if pmft==3;
replace rank1t = normtba if (pmft==4 | pmft==6 | pmft==7) & x==1;
replace rank1t = normtbb if (pmft==4 | pmft==5 | pmft==7) & x==0;
replace rank1t = normtmo if pmft==6 & x==0;
replace rank1t = normtmo if pmft==5 & x==1;

/*  rank2t are feeling thermometer scores of 2nd ranked candidate */

gen rank2t=0;
replace rank2t = normtbb if babbmo==1 | mobbba==1;
replace rank2t = normtba if bbbamo==1 | mobabb==1;
replace rank2t = normtmo if bamobb==1 | bbmoba==1;

/* rank3t are normalized feeling thermometer scores of 3rd ranked candidate-
which should always be zero! (this has been checked)**/

gen rank3t=0;

/* C8 Create PB's: (folded normalized viability-folded normalized
viability)*(normalized feeling therm*normalized feeling therm)
ex.: PB12= (viability of favorite candidate-viability of 2nd fav
candidate)*(thermometer of favorite-thermometer of 2nd fav)  */

gen pb12=((zP1v-zP2v)*(rank1t-rank2t));
gen pb13=((zP1v-zP3v)*(rank1t-rank3t));
gen pb23=((zP2v-zP3v)*(rank2t-rank3t));

/****
Original vote variable not used because this codes ties as zero, not one.  The
first variable, votert1, is coded one when the respondent plans to vote for
their highly ranked candidate as indicated by the feeling thermometer. kept in
for comparison  */

gen votert1=0;
```

```
recode votert1 0=1 if (v33_35>v36_38 & v33_35>v39_41 & v78==1);
recode votert1 0=2 if (v36_38>v33_35 & v36_38>v39_41 & v78==2);
recode votert1 0=3 if (v39_41>v33_35 & v39_41>v36_38 & v78==3);

sum votert1 vote1pm p12f p13f zP1* zP2* rank* norm* fnv* pb* baft moft bbft
pmft;

log on;

/*** Table 1: Expected Vote Choice and Highest Preference for Prime Minister %
of those who ranked candidate highest and voted for PM **/

tab pmft pmvote, row;




/*** Table 5: Did R Intend to Vote for Favored Candidate
      folded P1, P2 vote intention model***/

drop if (majorp==.) | (russian==.) | (sephardi==.) | (haredi==.) | (dove==.) |
(right==.) |
(income==.) | (educat==.);

probit vote1pm zP1v zP2v majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right income
educat;


/***  Sample Clarify Code for Predicted Differences Table 5b  ***/

estsimp probit vote1pm zP1v zP2v majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right
income educat, genname(t5);

setx (zP1v) max (zP2v) max (russian sephardi haredi dove right) min (income
educat) median;

simqi, prval(0,1);




/*** Table 6: Did R Intend to Vote for Favored Candidate
      folded P12, P13 vote intention model***/

probit vote1pm p12f p13f majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right income
educat;


/*** Sample Clarify Code for Predicted Difference Table 6b  ***/

estsimp probit vote1pm p12f p13f majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right
income educat;

setx (p12f) max (p13f) max (russian sephardi haredi dove right) min (income
educat) median;
```

```
simqi, prval(0,1);
```

```
/*** Table 7: Probit Estimates of the Probability of Expecting to Vote for the
First- or Second-highest-ranked Candidate, Given Normalized, Multiplicative
Utility and Viability Measures folded PB12, PB13, PB23 ***/

probit vote1pm pb12 pb13 pb23  majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right
income educat;


/***  Sample Clarify Code for Predicted Differences for Table 7b  ***/

estsimp probit vote1pm pb12 pb13 pb23  majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove
right income educat, genname(t73);

setx (pb12) mean (pb13) mean  (pb23) max  (russian sephardi haredi dove right)
min (income educat) median;

simqi, prval(0,1);
```

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS:

STRATEGIC ABANDONMENT OR SINCERELY SECOND BEST? THE 1999 ISRAELI PRIME MINISTERIAL ELECTION

Paul R. Abramson
Michigan State University
abramson@msu.edu

John H. Aldrich
Duke University
aldrich@duke.edu

Matthew Diamond
Duke University
matt@communitymidrasha.org

Abraham Diskin
Hebrew University of Jerusalem
mshanna@mscc.huji.ac.il

Renan Levine
University of Toronto
renan.levine@utoronto.edu

Thomas J. Scotto
Duke University
tjs@duke.edu

The Journal of Politics
Volume 66, Issue 3
August, 2004

```
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
      log:  H:\israel99\Final Versions\JOPREVISEANDRESUB\tablellrtests.log
  log type:  text
 opened on:   5 Jan 2004, 15:29:05

.
. use H:\israel99\abrams01.dta

.
. #delimit ;
delimiter now ;
. log off;
      log:  H:\israel99\Final Versions\JOPREVISEANDRESUB\tablellrtests.log
  log type:  text
 paused on:   5 Jan 2004, 15:29:05
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
      log:  H:\israel99\Final Versions\JOPREVISEANDRESUB\tablellrtests.log
  log type:  text
resumed on:   5 Jan 2004, 15:29:08

. /*** Table 1: Expected Vote Choice and Highest Preference for Prime Minister
>  % of those who ranked candidate highest and voted for PM **/
>
> tab pmft pmvote, row;

+----------------+
| Key            |
|----------------|
|   frequency    |
| row percentage |
+----------------+

           |                pmvote
      pmft | Netanyahu      Barak  Mordechai |     Total
-----------+---------------------------------+----------
     Barak |         4        327          4 |       335
           |      1.19      97.61       1.19 |    100.00
-----------+---------------------------------+----------
 Netanyahu |       239          4          2 |       245
           |     97.55       1.63       0.82 |    100.00
-----------+---------------------------------+----------
  Mordecai |         9         23         68 |       100
           |      9.00      23.00      68.00 |    100.00
-----------+---------------------------------+----------
   B-N Tie |         9          7          0 |        16
           |     56.25      43.75       0.00 |    100.00
-----------+---------------------------------+----------
   N-M Tie |        19          1          2 |        22
           |     86.36       4.55       9.09 |    100.00
-----------+---------------------------------+----------
   B-M Tie |         3         26         11 |        40
           |      7.50      65.00      27.50 |    100.00
-----------+---------------------------------+----------
  All Tied |         4          6          3 |        13
           |     30.77      46.15      23.08 |    100.00
-----------+---------------------------------+----------
     Total |       287        394         90 |       771
           |     37.22      51.10      11.67 |    100.00


. /*** Table 5: Did R Intend to Vote for Favored Candidate
>         folded P1, P2 vote intention model***/
```

```
>
> drop if (majorp==.) | (russian==.) | (sephardi==.) | (haredi==.) | (dove==.)
>  | (right==.) |
> (income==.) | (educat==.);
(53 observations deleted)

. probit vote1pm zP1v zP2v majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right income
> educat;

Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -172.46823
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -137.66704
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -135.05967
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -134.97667
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -134.97654

Probit estimates                                  Number of obs   =        702
                                                  LR chi2(10)     =      74.98
                                                  Prob > chi2     =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -134.97654                       Pseudo R2       =     0.2174

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
     vote1pm |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
        zP1v |   2.446741   .4777225     5.12   0.000     1.510422     3.38306
        zP2v |  -1.742108   .5062481    -3.44   0.001    -2.734336     -.74988
    majorp96 |   .4314846   .1824235     2.37   0.018      .073941    .7890281
     russian |  -.6054864   .3008858    -2.01   0.044    -1.195212   -.0157611
    sephardi |  -.3119085   .1886773    -1.65   0.098    -.6817092    .0578923
      haredi |  -.3349632   .3001052    -1.12   0.264    -.9231586    .2532321
        dove |  -.4016829   .2239124    -1.79   0.073    -.8405431    .0371773
       right |  -.1440741   .2116704    -0.68   0.496    -.5589404    .2707923
      income |   .0513883   .1518349     0.34   0.735    -.2462027    .3489793
      educat |   .1745437   .0767083     2.28   0.023     .0241982    .3248893
       _cons |   .7952222   .4464262     1.78   0.075     -.079757    1.670201
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

. /***  Sample Clarify Code for Predicted Differences Table 5b  ***/
>
> estsimp probit vote1pm zP1v zP2v majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right
>  income educat, genname(t5);

Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -172.46823
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -137.66704
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -135.05967
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -134.97667
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -134.97654

Probit estimates                                  Number of obs   =        702
                                                  LR chi2(10)     =      74.98
                                                  Prob > chi2     =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -134.97654                       Pseudo R2       =     0.2174

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
     vote1pm |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
        zP1v |   2.446741   .4777225     5.12   0.000     1.510422     3.38306
        zP2v |  -1.742108   .5062481    -3.44   0.001    -2.734336     -.74988
    majorp96 |   .4314846   .1824235     2.37   0.018      .073941    .7890281
     russian |  -.6054864   .3008858    -2.01   0.044    -1.195212   -.0157611
    sephardi |  -.3119085   .1886773    -1.65   0.098    -.6817092    .0578923
      haredi |  -.3349632   .3001052    -1.12   0.264    -.9231586    .2532321
        dove |  -.4016829   .2239124    -1.79   0.073    -.8405431    .0371773
       right |  -.1440741   .2116704    -0.68   0.496    -.5589404    .2707923
```

```
      income |   .0513883   .1518349     0.34   0.735    -.2462027    .3489793
      educat |   .1745437   .0767083     2.28   0.023     .0241982    .3248893
       _cons |   .7952222   .4464262     1.78   0.075     -.079757    1.670201
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Simulating main parameters.  Please wait....

Note: Clarify is expanding your dataset from 718 observations to 1000
observations in order to accommodate the simulations.  This will append
missing values to the bottom of your original dataset.

% of simulations completed: 9% 18% 27% 36% 45% 54% 63% 72% 81% 90% 100%

Number of simulations  : 1000
Names of new variables : t51 t52 t53 t54 t55 t56 t57 t58 t59 t510 t511

. setx (zP1v) max (zP2v) max (russian sephardi haredi dove right) min (income
> educat) median;

. simqi, prval(0,1);

      Quantity of Interest |     Mean       Std. Err.    [95% Conf. Interval]
---------------------------+------------------------------------------------
             Pr(vote1pm=0) |    .043033     .0240889     .0114952    .1040839
             Pr(vote1pm=1) |    .956967     .0240889     .8959161    .9885048

. /*** Table 6: Did R Intend to Vote for Favored Candidate
>         folded P12, P13 vote intention model***/
>
> probit vote1pm p12f p13f majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right income
> educat;

Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -172.46823
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -135.78102
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -133.18561
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -133.11904
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -133.11896

Probit estimates                                  Number of obs   =        702
                                                  LR chi2(10)     =      78.70
                                                  Prob > chi2     =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -133.11896                       Pseudo R2       =     0.2282

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
     vote1pm |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
        p12f |   1.661117    .364211     4.56   0.000      .947277    2.374958
        p13f |   .8364166   .4044856     2.07   0.039     .0436394    1.629194
    majorp96 |   .3921042   .1845679     2.12   0.034     .0303577    .7538508
     russian |  -.6750533   .3048238    -2.21   0.027    -1.272497   -.0776096
    sephardi |  -.3190072   .1901762    -1.68   0.093    -.6917456    .0537312
      haredi |  -.4381238   .3057211    -1.43   0.152    -1.037326    .1610786
        dove |  -.3834664   .2263738    -1.69   0.090    -.8271508     .060218
       right |  -.2110062   .2171019    -0.97   0.331    -.6365181    .2145057
      income |   .0548049   .1531142     0.36   0.720    -.2452935    .3549033
      educat |   .1768234   .0766441     2.31   0.021     .0266036    .3270431
       _cons |   1.053019   .4032412     2.61   0.009     .2626807    1.843357
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

. /*** Sample Clarify Code for Predicted Difference Table 6b  ***/
>
> estsimp probit vote1pm p12f p13f majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right
>  income educat;
```

```
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -172.46823
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -135.78102
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -133.18561
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -133.11904
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -133.11896

Probit estimates                                  Number of obs   =        702
                                                  LR chi2(10)     =      78.70
                                                  Prob > chi2     =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -133.11896                       Pseudo R2       =     0.2282

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
     vote1pm |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
        p12f |   1.661117    .364211     4.56   0.000      .947277    2.374958
        p13f |   .8364166   .4044856     2.07   0.039     .0436394    1.629194
    majorp96 |   .3921042   .1845679     2.12   0.034     .0303577    .7538508
     russian |  -.6750533   .3048238    -2.21   0.027    -1.272497   -.0776096
    sephardi |  -.3190072   .1901762    -1.68   0.093    -.6917456    .0537312
      haredi |  -.4381238   .3057211    -1.43   0.152    -1.037326    .1610786
        dove |  -.3834664   .2263738    -1.69   0.090    -.8271508     .060218
       right |  -.2110062   .2171019    -0.97   0.331    -.6365181    .2145057
      income |   .0548049   .1531142     0.36   0.720    -.2452935    .3549033
      educat |   .1768234   .0766441     2.31   0.021     .0266036    .3270431
       _cons |   1.053019   .4032412     2.61   0.009     .2626807    1.843357
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Simulating main parameters.  Please wait....
% of simulations completed: 9% 18% 27% 36% 45% 54% 63% 72% 81% 90% 100%

Number of simulations  : 1000
Names of new variables : b1 b2 b3 b4 b5 b6 b7 b8 b9 b10 b11

. setx (p12f) max (p13f) max (russian sephardi haredi dove right) min (income
> educat) median;

. simqi, prval(0,1);

      Quantity of Interest |     Mean       Std. Err.    [95% Conf. Interval]
---------------------------+--------------------------------------------------
             Pr(vote1pm=0) |   .0022754     .0023706     .0001817    .0089837
             Pr(vote1pm=1) |   .9977246     .0023706     .9910163    .9998183

. /*** Table 7: Probit Estimates of the Probability of Expecting to Vote for t
> he First- or Second-highest-ranked Candidate, Given Normalized, Multiplicati
> ve Utility and Viability Measures folded PB12, PB13, PB23 ***/
>
> probit vote1pm pb12 pb13 pb23  majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove right i
> ncome educat;

Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -171.55861
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -132.28974
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -129.39677
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -129.30423
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -129.30408

Probit estimates                                  Number of obs   =        689
                                                  LR chi2(11)     =      84.51
                                                  Prob > chi2     =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -129.30408                       Pseudo R2       =     0.2463

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

vote1pm	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P>|z|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
pb12	2.233865	.7242295	3.08	0.002	.8144015	3.653329
pb13	1.674838	.4096106	4.09	0.000	.8720158	2.47766
pb23	-1.527987	.6102509	-2.50	0.012	-2.724057	-.3319172
majorp96	.4100711	.1865255	2.20	0.028	.0444879	.7756544
russian	-.7379405	.3101986	-2.38	0.017	-1.345919	-.1299624
sephardi	-.2789493	.1929728	-1.45	0.148	-.6571691	.0992705
haredi	-.4194465	.3134713	-1.34	0.181	-1.033839	.194946
dove	-.4438827	.2324214	-1.91	0.056	-.8994202	.0116548
right	-.2440685	.2232122	-1.09	0.274	-.6815564	.1934194
income	.0292015	.1539522	0.19	0.850	-.2725393	.3309423
educat	.1870812	.0779947	2.40	0.016	.0342144	.3399479
_cons	1.046812	.4079195	2.57	0.010	.2473044	1.846319

```
. /***  Sample Clarify Code for Predicted Differences for Table 7b  ***/
>
> estsimp probit vote1pm pb12 pb13 pb23  majorp96 russian sephardi haredi dove
>  right income educat, genname(t73);

Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -171.55861
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -132.28974
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -129.39677
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -129.30423
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -129.30408

Probit estimates                                  Number of obs   =        689
                                                  LR chi2(11)     =      84.51
                                                  Prob > chi2     =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -129.30408                       Pseudo R2       =     0.2463
```

vote1pm	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P>|z|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
pb12	2.233865	.7242295	3.08	0.002	.8144015	3.653329
pb13	1.674838	.4096106	4.09	0.000	.8720158	2.47766
pb23	-1.527987	.6102509	-2.50	0.012	-2.724057	-.3319172
majorp96	.4100711	.1865255	2.20	0.028	.0444879	.7756544
russian	-.7379405	.3101986	-2.38	0.017	-1.345919	-.1299624
sephardi	-.2789493	.1929728	-1.45	0.148	-.6571691	.0992705
haredi	-.4194465	.3134713	-1.34	0.181	-1.033839	.194946
dove	-.4438827	.2324214	-1.91	0.056	-.8994202	.0116548
right	-.2440685	.2232122	-1.09	0.274	-.6815564	.1934194
income	.0292015	.1539522	0.19	0.850	-.2725393	.3309423
educat	.1870812	.0779947	2.40	0.016	.0342144	.3399479
_cons	1.046812	.4079195	2.57	0.010	.2473044	1.846319

```
Simulating main parameters.  Please wait....
% of simulations completed: 8% 16% 25% 33% 41% 50% 58% 66% 75% 83% 91% 100%

Number of simulations  : 1000
Names of new variables : t731 t732 t733 t734 t735 t736 t737 t738 t739 t7310 t7
> 311 t7312

. setx (pb12) mean (pb13) mean  (pb23) max  (russian sephardi haredi dove righ
> t) min (income educat) median;

. simqi, prval(0,1);

      Quantity of Interest |     Mean       Std. Err.    [95% Conf. Interval]
---------------------------+-------------------------------------------------
```

```
                                table1,5,6,7 (1)
             Pr(vote1pm=0) |   .1412263     .0899072      .026386    .3614082
             Pr(vote1pm=1) |   .8587737     .0899072     .6385918     .973614

.
end of do-file

. exit, clear
```